DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5603

ISSN: 2320 – 7051 *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **5** (4): 1576-1581 (2017)





Research Article

Evaluation of Efficacy of Different Herbicides for Efficient Weed Control in Sunflower [*Helianthus annuus* L.]

J. Narender^{1*}, J. Padmaja¹, A. Srinivas¹ and V. Sailaja²

¹Department of Agronomy, PJTSAU, Hyderabad-500030, Telangana, India ²Department of soil Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad-500030, Telangana, India *Corresponding Author E-mail: jalarinarender@gmail.com Received: 11.07.2017 | Revised: 21.07.2017 | Accepted: 24.07.2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during kharif, 2013-14 at Student Farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University to find out the effect of different weed management practices in sunflower. Weed spectrum of the experimental field consisted of three groups of weeds like prominent weed species observed in experimental site were grasses viz., Cynodon dactylon and Dactyloctenium aegyptium, sedges viz., Cyperus rotundus and broadleaved weeds viz., Celosia argentea, Digera arvensis, Trianthema portulacastrum, Commelina benghalensis, and Parthenium hysterophorus. At 60DAS significantly lower weed density, weed dry matter and higher weed control efficiency were recorded with weed free (HW from 15DAS to harvest at 15 days interval) treatment. Hand weeding twice at 20 and 40DAS and application of oxyfluorfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE with one hand weeding at 25DAS treatments were on par each other. Higher weed density, weed dry matter and lower keed density, weed dry matter and box of oxyfluorfen weed density, weed dry matter and lower weed density, weed dry matter and lower keed key here key key key.

Key words: Sunflower, Oxyfloufen, Pendimethalin, Quizalofop-p-ethyl, Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl, Paraquat, Hand weeding, Net returns.

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is the backbone of Indian economy as nearly 60 per cent of the total population depends directly or indirectly on agriculture. Agriculture and allied sectors are providing 52 per cent of the gainful employment in India. Much of the acreage under coarse cereals (85%), pulses (83%) and oilseeds (70%), substantial area under rice (42%) and nearly 65 per cent of the cotton area is under rainfed conditions¹³. Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.), by virtue of its short duration, wider adaptability to different soil types, photoinsensitivity and availability of promising hybrids and varieties, has stabilized its area and production in India. Sunflower is the oil of preference among the consumers world-wide due to its health appeal and in India too, sunflower oil is the largest selling oil in the branded oil segment. It is also a crop of choice for farmers due to its wider adaptability, high yield potential, shorter duration and profitability.

Cite this article: Narender, J., Padmaja, J., Srinivas, A., and Sailaja, V., Evaluation of efficacy of different herbicides for efficient weed control in sunflower [*Helianthus annuus* L.] *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(4): 1576-1581 (2017). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5603

Narender *et al*

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

Our country accounted for 3.26 per cent (1044 thousand mt) of total world production of sunflower in 2011 with average productivity of 610 kg ha⁻¹, which is very much lower than the world average of 1356 kg ha⁻¹ (FAO,2011), indicating wider scope for improving the yield potential.

There are several constraints in sunflower production, amongst them weed infestation is one of the major constraints to be addressed for increasing productivity. One of the major constraints in sunflower production is weed competition. The weeds are the major threat resulting in a seed yield loss upto 45 to 55% obtained by Wanjari *et al*¹⁴. The possibility of enhancing the productivity in sunflower has increased significantly with the discovery of several selective herbicides and also opened up new opportunities efficient weed management. Sunflower is a poor competitor with weeds on account of its slow growth in the initial stage. It has now been well established that losses from weeds are far more than due to infestation of insect pests and diseases. Weeds compete with crop plants for nutrients, soil moisture, space and sunlight causing poor growth and yield losses. Legha et al^5 reported that uncontrolled weed growth caused enormous loss of nutrients, which in turn reduced the yield of sunflower crop to an extent of 64 per cent. Reduction in yield depends on various aspects like weed density, time and duration of weed competition, weed spectrum etc^1 .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif*, 2013-14 at Student Farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Acharya N.G.Ranga Agricultural University to study the effect of different weed management practices in sunflower. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three

replications and thirteen treatments viz., T₁pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE, T₂oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE, T₃pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE + HW at 25DAS, T₄-oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE + HW at 25DAS, T₅-pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE + quizalofop-p-ethyl @ 50 g a.iha⁻¹ as PoE at 15-20DAS, T_6 -oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE + quizalofop-p-ethyl @ 50 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE at 15-20DAS, T₇pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE + fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 56.25 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE at 15-20DAS, T₈-oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE + fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 56.25 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE at 15-20DAS, T₉-pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE + paraquat @ 600 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE at 15-20DAS (directed spray), T₁₀oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE + paraguat @ 600 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE at 15-20DAS (directed spray), T₁₁-hand weeding at 20 and 40DAS, T₁₂-weed free (HW from 15DAS to harvest at 15 days interval) and T₁₃-control (weedy check). A uniform dose of 60-40-30 kg N- P_2O_5 - K_2O ha⁻¹ in the form of urea (46 % N), single super phosphate (16 % P₂O₅) and muriate of potash (60 % K₂O) respectively were applied. Entire quantity of P and K was applied as basal, whereas N was applied in two splits, one half at the time of sowing as band placement, 5 cm away from seed row at a depth of about 5 cm, maintaining 60 cm row spacing and between the plants 30 cm. The sunflower cultivar DRSH-1 was sown on 5 July, 2013 adopting the recommended seed rate of 5 kg ha⁻¹ and harvested on 10th October. 2013. Standard procedures were adopted for recording the data on various growth and yield parameters. Plants enclosed in an area of $0.25m^2$ from the destructive sampling area were removed at 30, 60DAS and at harvest the plant samples so collected were sun dried and later oven dried at 60°C till a constant weight is obtained.

Weed index (WI) was calculated by using the following formula as given by Gill and Kumar³.

Weed control efficiency (WCE) was calculated by using the formula suggested by Umrani and Boi¹².

[Dry matter of weeds in[Dry matter of weed inWCE=unweeded plot (g m⁻²)]-treated plot (g m⁻²)]-

Dry matter of weeds in unweeded plot (g m⁻²)

Narender *et al*

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

The data was computed and expressed in kg/ha. Five heads were taken randomly to determine the number of seeds/head. Average number of seeds per head was calculated. 1000 seeds were taken from each plot and were weighed. Plants were threshed manually; seed yield of each plot was recorded and converted into kilograms/hectare.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on weeds

The weed flora associated with experimental crop consisted of grasses viz., Cynodon dactylon, Dactyloctenium aegyptium sedges viz., Cyperus rotundus and broad leaved weeds viz., Celosia argentea, Digera arvensis, Trianthema portulacastrum, Commelina benghalensis and Parthenium hysterophorus. Among all weed sps, Cynodon dactylon, Cyperus rotundus and Parthenium hysterophorus were the most dominant ones. Renukaswamy et al⁸ also observed similar weed flora associated with sunflower. Weed population, weed dry matter and weed control efficiency in sunflower field differed significantly with different the weed management practices both at 30 and 60 days

(DAS).The weed density after sowing recorded at 30 and 60DAS are presented in Table 1. At 30 DAS, application of oxyfluorfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb hand weeding at 25DAS and pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb hand weeding at 25 DAS equally effective and recorded were significantly lower weed number over all other treatments because of hand weeding at 25DAS and these treatments were on par with pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb paraquat @ 600 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE at 15-20DAS (directed spray) and oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE *fb* paraquat @ 600 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE at 15-20DAS (directed spray) compared to other treatments and higher number of grasses, sedges, broad leaved weeds and total weeds (20.0, 25.00, 19.00 and 64.00 respectively) were observed in weedy check (control). Preemergence application of pendimethalin (580 g a.i ha⁻¹) or oxyfluorfen (150 g a.i ha⁻¹) either alone or coupled with PoE of quizalofop-pethyl (50 g a.i ha⁻¹) or fenoxaprop-p-ethyl $(56.25 \text{ g } a.i \text{ ha}^{-1})$ were not effective in controlling the sedges and broad leaved weeds at 30DAS.

Table 1: Effect of different integrated weed control treatments on weed density (no. m⁻²) in sunflower at30 DAS & 60DAS during *kharif*, 2013

	Weed density (Number per m ²)								Weed dry matter	
T No	30DAS				60DAS				(g/ m ²)	
1. NO	Grasses	Sedges	BLW'S	Total weeds	Grasses	Sedges	BLW'S	Total weeds	30DAS	60DAS
T ₁	3.08	3.08	2.73	5.05	4.64	4.95	4.94	8.34	3.16	5.76
	(9.0)	(9.00)	(7.00)	(25.00)	(21.00)	(24.00)	(24.00)	(69.0)	(10.21)	(33.10)
Ta	2.35	2.73	2.91	4.53	4.40	4.52	4.74	7.84	2.74	5.67
12	(5.0)	(7.00)	(8.00)	(20.10)	(19.00)	(20.00)	(22.00)	(61.0)	(7.10)	(32.20)
т.	1.22	1.22	1.22	1.86	2.08	3.24	3.67	5.24	1.38 (1.40)	3.81
13	(1.0)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(3.00)	(4.00)	(10.00)	(13.00)	(27)		(14.21)
т.	1.22	1.22	1.22	1.86	2.12	3.08	3.52	5.05	1.30 (1.20)	3.39
14	(1.0)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(3.00)	(4.00)	(9.00)	(12.00)	Weed dry r (g/m ²) Total weeds 30DAS 8.34 3.16 (69.0) (10.21) 7.84 2.74 (61.0) (7.10) 5.24 1.38 (1.40 (27) 1.38 (1.40 (27) 1.38 (1.40 (27) 1.30 (1.20 6.20 2.92 (38.0) (8.10) 5.96 2.35 (35.0) (5.01) 6.60 3.08 (43.0) (9.02) 6.44 2.56 (41.0) (6.04) 6.20 1.41 (38.0) (1.50) 5.79 1.41 (33.0) (1.50) 4.06 1.58 (16.0) (2.00) 3.39 (11.0) 2.12 (4.01) 9.87 9.70.0 (29.04) 0.18 0.21	1.50 (1.20)	(11.10)
т	1.58	2.91	3.53	4.74	2.47	3.81	4.30	6.20	2.92	4.30
15	(2.0)	(8.00)	(12.00)	(22.00)	(5.67)	(14.00)	(18.00)	(38.0)	(8.10)	(18.00)
T_6	1.58	2.50	2.90	4.06	2.34	3.81	4.06	5.96	2.35	4.18
	(2.0)	(5.77)	(8.00)	(16.00)	(5.00)	(14.00)	(16.00)	(35.0)	(5.01)	(17.11)
т	1.96	3.24	3.08	4.85	2.74	4.18	4.53	6.60	3.08	4.74
17	(3.3)	(10.00)	(9.00)	(23.00)	(7.00)	(17.00)	(20.00)	(43.0)	(9.02)	(22.14)
T_8	1.87	2.91	2.54	4.18	2.34	4.26	4.27	6.44	2.56	4.53
	(3.0)	(8.00)	(6.00)	(17.00)	(5.00)	(17.67)	(18.00)	(41.0)	(6.04)	(20.07)
-	1.53	1.58	1.22	2.48	2.90	3.39	4.40	6.20	1.41	4.18
Т9	(1.8)	(2.00)	(1.00)	(5.67)	(8.00)	(11.00)	(19.00)	(38.0)	(1.50)	(17.07)
т	1.41	1.58	1.22	2.42	2.90	3.24	3.93	5.79	1.41	4.06
1 ₁₀	(1.5)	(2.00)	(1.00)	(5.37)	(8.00)	(10.00)	(15.00)	(33.0)	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6.20 & 2.92 \\ \hline 38.0) & (8.10) \\ \hline 5.96 & 2.35 \\ \hline 35.0) & (5.01) \\ \hline 6.60 & 3.08 \\ \hline 43.0) & (9.02) \\ \hline 6.44 & 2.56 \\ \hline 41.0) & (6.04) \\ \hline 6.20 & 1.41 \\ \hline 38.0) & (1.50) \\ \hline 5.79 & 1.41 \\ \hline (33.0) & (1.50) \\ \hline 4.06 & 1.58 \\ \hline 16.0) & (2.00) \\ \hline 9 & (11.0) & 2.12 \\ \hline 9 & (11.0) & 2.13 \\ \hline 9.87 & 5.43 \\ \end{array}$	(16.00)
-	2.12	1.22	2.34	3.24	2.53	1.86	2.73	4.06	1.58	2.74
T ₁₁	(4.0)	(1.00)	(5.00)	(10.00)	(6.00)	(3.00)	(7.00)	(16.0)	(2.00)	(7.00)
т	2.68	1.56	2.54	3.89	2.12	1.58	2.35	2 20 (11 0)	2.12	1.58
1 ₁₂	(6.7)	(2.00)	(6.00)	(14.7)	(4.00)	(2.00)	(5.00)	5.59 (11.0)	(4.01)	(2.00)
T ₁₃	4.53	5.05	4.41	8.03	5.70	6.12	5.33	9.87	5.43	7.18
	(20.0)	(25.00)	(19.00)	(64.00)	(32.00)	(37.00)	(28.00)	(97.0)	(29.04)	(51.42)
	0.13	0.12	0.17	0.25	0.15	0.20	0.17	0.18	0.21	0.22
	0.39	0.36	0.49	0.72	0.44	0.59	0.49	0.53	0.67	0.68

Narender *et al*

Original values are given in parentheses, which were transformed to $\sqrt{x+0.5}$

At 60DAS, significantly lower number of grasses (4.00), sedges (2.00), broad leaved weeds (5.00) and total number of weeds (11.00) were recorded with weed free (HW from 15DAS to harvest at 15 days interval) condition and superior over all other treatments. Hand weeding twice at 20 and 40DAS also recorded significantly lower weeds viz., grasses, sedges, broad leaved and total weeds over rest of the treatments. Next best treatments, application of oxyfluorfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE with one hand weeding at 25DAS (4.00, 9.00, 12.00 and 25.00, respectively) and pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha^{-1} as PE *fb* hand weeding at 25DAS being at par also recorded lower weeds over all other Significantly higher treatments. grasses (32.00), sedges (37.00), broad leaved weeds (28.00) and total number of weeds (97.00) were recorded in weedy check compared to all other treatments. These results indicate that hand weeding continued its effect on weeds which resulted in lower weed density at 60DAS.At 30DAS, application of pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb hand weeding at 25DAS and oxyfluorfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb hand weeding at 25DAS were significantly superior with lower dry matter of weeds (1.40 and 1.20, respectively) over other treatments and these treatments remained on par with pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE *fb* paraquat @ 600 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE (directed spray) at 15-20DAS (1.50 g m^{-2}) and oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb paraquat @ 600 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE (directed spray) at 15-20 DAS (1.50 g m⁻²) were found to be equally effective in recording lower weed dry matter and was on par with hand weeding twice at 20 and 40DAS (2.00 g m⁻²) while higher weed dry matter (5.43 g m^{-2}) recorded with weedy check.At 60DAS, in contrast to 30DAS significantly lower weed dry matter (2.00 g m⁻ ²) was recorded by maintaining weed free conditions over all other treatments. Next best treatments were hand weeding twice at 20 and 40DAS (7.00 g m^{-2}) was on par with application of oxyfluorfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE *fb* hand weeding at 25DAS (11.10 g m^{-2}) while these two were significantly superior to other treatments but closely followed by

pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb hand weeding at 25DAS (14.21 g m⁻²) while the highest weed dry matter (51.42 g m⁻²) was recorded with weedy check. The preemergence herbicides when supplemented with one hand weeding caused a reduction in weed dry matter production and these treatments were found to be better as compared to the herbicides applied alone.At 30DAS the higher weed control efficiency (95.9%) was obtained by the application of pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb HW at 25DAS, oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fbHW at 25DAS (95.3%), pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE or oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE in combination with paraquat @ 600 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PoE (directed spray) at 15-20 DAS performed better whereas adoption of weed free (HW from15DAS to harvest at 15 days interval) treatment recorded WCE of 93.1 per cent.At 60DAS the highest WCE (96.1) was recorded by adoption of weed free treatment (HW from 15DAS to till harvest at 15 days interval) followed by practicing hand weeding twice at 20 and 40DAS. Next best treatments was application of oxyflourfen @ 150 g a.i ha ¹ as PE *fb* HW at 25DAS which has recorded a weed control efficiency of 78.4 and 71.3 per cent at 60DAS and at harvest respectively. Similar results were obtained by Kumar *et al*⁴ and Nagamani *et al*⁶. Higher weed control efficiency in case of the treatments where in hand weeding and oxyfluorfen was due to reduced weed growth during the early stage of crop growth period. At 60DAS and at harvest higher WCE was observed in hand weeding twice followed by oxyfluorfen and pendimethalin integrated with one hand weeding. A weed index of 0 (zero) was obtained when the field was kept weed free (HW from 15DAS to harvest at 15 days interval) and practicing hand weeding twice 20 and 40DAS (4.5%), followed by application of oxyfluorfen @ 150 g a.i ha⁻¹ as PE fb HW at 25DAS (7.8%) and pendimethalin @ 580 g a.i ha^{-1} as PE *fb* HW at 25DAS (15.5%). Whereas the higher weed index was recorded with weedy check (66.01%). Weed index is an indicator of the efficiency of weed control treatments, the lower values of weed index indicate better efficiency. Higher weed index

was recorded with unweeded check.

Narender <i>et al</i>	Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.	5 (4): 1576-1581 (2017)	ISSN: 2320 – 7051
Effect on crop		significantly superior to	all other treatments
The observations on plant hei	ght of sunflower	and remained on par w	ith practicing hand
were recorded at 60DAS and	yield (Table 2).	weeding twice at 20 and 4	ODAS (1757 kg ha ⁻
Data shows that all the gro	wth stages was	¹), application of oxyfluor	fen @ 150 g <i>a.i</i> ha ⁻¹
significantly influenced by	different weed	as PE with one hand weed	ing at 25DAS (1697
control treatments. At 60DA	AS, the highest	kg ha ⁻¹). Nagamani <i>et</i>	al^6 have reported
plant height (155.4 cm) was	s recorded with	maximum seed yield with	herbicide followed
weed free treatment (HW f	from 15DAS to	by one hand weeding. N	Next best treatment
harvest at 15 days interval) as	nd it was on par	pendimethalin @ 580 g	a.i ha ⁻¹ with hand
with the plant height due to	o hand weeding	weeding at 25DAS produ	ced 1555 kg ha ⁻¹ it
twice at 20 and 40DAS,	application of	was on par with oxyfluorf	Ten @ 150 g $a.i$ ha ⁻¹
oxyfluorfen @ 150 g $a.i$ ha ⁻¹	as PE fb HW at	fb paraquat @ 600 g a.i h	a ⁻¹ as PoE (directed
25DAS (151.0 cm) and pend	imethalin @ 580	spray) at 20DAS (14	93 kg ha^{-1}) and
g $a.i$ ha ⁻¹ fb one hand wee	eding at 25DAS	pendimethalin @ 580 g a.	<i>i</i> ha ⁻¹ <i>fb</i> paraquat @
(149.3 cm) while the low	er plant height	$600 \text{ g} a.i \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ as PoE}$	(directed spray) at
recorded with weedy check	(111.4 cm).The	20DAS (1490 kg ha ⁻¹) w	hile the lower seed
highest seed yield of 1840	0 kg ha ⁻¹ was	yield (625 kg ha ⁻¹) was re	ecorded with weedy
recorded in weed free	conditions was	check.	
Fable- 2: Effect of different integr	ated weed control treat	ments on weed control efficien	cy (%), weed index

	Weed control efficiency (%)	WI (%)	Plant height (cm)	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)			
T.No	60DAS		60DAS				
T ₁	35.9	50.3	138.3	914			
T ₂	37.9	44.5	140.2	1021			
T ₃	72.5	15.5	149.3	1555			
T_4	78.4	7.8	151.0	1697			
T ₅	64.7	30.4	142.9	1281			
T_6	66.7	27.2	144.4	1340			
T_7	56.9	32.5	141.3	1243			
T ₈	60.8	31.3	142.2	1264			
T ₉	66.7	24.8	145.6	1490			
T ₁₀	68.6	19.0	146.3	1493			
T ₁₁	86.3	4.5	153.9	1757			
T ₁₂	96.1	0.0	155.4	1840			
T ₁₃	0	66.0	111.4	625			
SEm±	-	-	2.1	53			
CD(P=0.05)	-	-	6.1	154			

(%) and yield in sunflower

The late hand weeding increased the aeration and enhanced root growth of crop. This might have augmented the absorption of nutrient and moisture from the soil resulting in higher yield. It might be due to control of weeds from the initial growth of sunflower, as appeared from drastic reduction in density and dry matter of weeds in weed free condition, which helped in better growth of the crop resulting in significant seed yield of sunflower, The factors that contributed to higher crop dry matter production might have resulted in higher stalk

Copyright © August, 2017; IJPAB

yields in the treatments where weeds were controlled efficiently. These results corroborate those of Kumar *et al*⁴, Siva Sankar and Subramanyam¹⁰ and Nagamani *et al*⁶.

REFERENCES

- Carranza, P., Saavedra, M and Garcia-Torres, L. Competition between *Ridolfiasegetum* and sunflower. *Weed Res.*, 35: 369–375(1995).
- 2. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization).. FAO STAT. Crops

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (4): 1576-1581 (2017)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

Narender *et al*

Production. Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, Rome, Italy. (http:faostat.org/site/ 291/default.aspx)(2011).

- Gill, G. S and Kumar, V. Weed index- a new method for reporting weed control trials. *Indian J Agron.* 14 (2): 96-98(1969).
- Kumar, K. A., Reddy, M. D and Krishna, A. Integrated weed management in *rabi* sunflower. *J Oilseed Res.* 15(1): 109-114(1998).
- Legha, P. K., Malik, R. K and Faroda, A. S. Weed management in Kharif sunflower. *Crop Res.* 5: 376-379(1992).
- Nagamani, C., Muneendra Naidu, S. M and Subramanyam, D. Weed dynamics and Yield of Sunflower as influenced by varied planting patterns and weed management practices. *Indian J Weed Sci.* 43(1&2): 101-104(2011).
- Reddy, A. M., Reddy, G. P., Reddy, D. S and Reddy, K. B.. Determination of critical period of crop-weed competition in hybrid sunflower. *Indian J Weed Sci.* 40(1&2): 90-93(2008).
- 8. Renukaswamy, N. S., Prashant Kusagur and Jayaprakash, R. Synergetic effects of herbicide mixtures on weed management in sunflower. *International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences*, **2(1)**: 75-79(2012).

- Singh, D. K and Singh, K. N. Weed control in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) under temperate conditions of Kashmir vally. *Indian J Agron.* 51(3): 225-227(2006).
- Siva Sankar, K and Subramanyam, D. Weed flora and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) as influenced by pre- and post-emergence application of herbicides. *Indian J Weed Sci.* 43(1&2): 105-109(2011).
- Sridhar, V. Effects of herbicides and in integration with cultural practices on weed control and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *J Oilseed Res.* **19(2)**: 239-241(2002).
- Umrani, N. K and Boi, P. G. Studies on weed control in bajra under dryland conditions. *J Maharashtra Agric Univ.* 7(2): 145-147(1982).
- Venkateswarlu, B and Prasad, J. V. N. S. Carrying capacity of Indian agriculture, issues related to rainfed agriculture. *Curr Sci.* 102: 882-888(2012).
- Wanjari, R. H., Yaduraju, N. T., and Ahuja, K. N. Nutrient uptake by sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) and associated weeds during rainy season. *Indian J* Agron. 46: 541-546(2001).